

**Missouri Special Education Part B - State Performance Plan Targets
2005-2006 through 2012-13**

SPP Indicator	Performance Measure	2005-2006		2006-2007		2007-2008		2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013	
		Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
1	Graduation rate for students with IEPs ¹	≥73.0%	70.3%	74.0%	72.8%	75.0%	80.0%	74.0%	79.2%	74.5%	79.8%	81.2%	81.2%	83.2%	83.2%	85.0%	85.0%
2	Dropout rate for students with IEPs ¹	≤4.7%	5.6%	4.5%	5.7%	4.3%	4.9%	5.0%	5.0%	4.9%	4.2%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%
3A	Percent of districts meeting AYP	≥30.0%	32.2%	33.0%	10.6%	34.0%	18.3%	35.0%	25.1%	36.0%	21.3%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%
3B	Participation rate for children with IEPs on statewide assessments	≥95.0%	99.3%	95.0%	99.3%	95.0%	99.6%	95.0%	99.6%	95.0%	99.6%	95.0%	95.0%	99.3%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs on statewide assessments	CA: ≥34.7% Math: 18.7%	15.9% 18.7%	42.8% 35.8%	17.6% 20.9%	51.0% 45.0%	18.1% 22.7%	59.2% 54.1%	23.8% 25.8%	87.4% 63.3%	26.2% 29.2%	75.5% 72.5%	27.0% 29.6%	27.0% 29.6%	83.7% 81.7%	91.8% 90.8%	91.8% 90.8%
4A	Percent of districts with significant discrepancies in suspension/expulsion rates	≤1.7%	0.57%	1.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
4B	Percent of districts with significant discrepancies in suspension/expulsion rates by race/ethnicity	N/A	0.57%	50.5%	N/A	0.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5A	Placements inside regular class ≥ 80%	≥59.0%	57.4%	60.0%	55.8%	59.0%	57.1%	58.5%	58.0%	59.0%	58.4%	59.5%	59.5%	58.6%	59.5%	59.5%	59.5%
5B	Placements inside regular class < 40%	≤11.0%	11.2%	10.9%	10.6%	10.8%	10.0%	10.4%	9.8%	10.3%	9.6%	10.2%	10.2%	9.3%	10.2%	10.2%	10.2%
5C	Placements in separate settings	≤3.50%	3.70%	3.45%	3.70%	3.40%	3.7%	3.60%	3.8%	3.55%	3.7%	3.5%	3.5%	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
6	ECSE children in settings with typically developing peers	≥43.0%	45.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
7A	Early Childhood Outcomes: Improved positive social emotional skills (two summary statements)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	92.6% 55.5%	83.3% 49.9%	91.9% 53.5%	93.9% 51.7%	93.9% 51.7%	92.7% 55.6%	92.7% 55.6%	92.7% 55.6%
7B	Early Childhood Outcomes: Improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (two summary statements)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	93.7% 42.3%	84.3% 38.1%	93.5% 42.1%	95.6% 40.8%	95.6% 40.8%	93.8% 42.4%	93.8% 42.4%	93.8% 42.4%
7C	Early Childhood Outcomes: Improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet needs (two summary statements)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.6% 60.6%	81.5% 54.5%	91.2% 59.4%	93.0% 57.0%	93.0% 57.0%	90.7% 60.7%	90.7% 60.7%	90.7% 60.7%
8	Parent involvement	N/A	76.5%	≥77.0%	69.4%	72.5%	72.3%	75.0%	69.6%	77.5%	69.3%	80.0%	71.4%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%
9	Disproportionate representation as the result of inappropriate identification	≈0.0%	1.15%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	Disproportionate representation in specific disability categories as the result of inappropriate identification	≈0.0%	1.15%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11	60-day initial evaluation timelines	≈100%	94.7%	100%	94.0%	100%	97.1%	100%	97.8%	100%	96.8%	100%	97.8%	100%	100%	100%	100%
12	Part C to Part B transition timelines	≈100%	95.4%	100%	80.3%	100%	88.6%	100%	91.3%	100%	95.0%	100%	96.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%
13	IEP transition planning	≈100%	44.8%	100%	73.2%	100%	82.5%	100%	N/A	100%	91.3%	100%	79.4%	100%	100%	100%	100%
14	Post-high school outcomes	N/A	N/A	N/A	73.5%	≥74.0%	74.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A: 24.4% B: 46.9% C: 51.3%	A: 30.2% B: 53.1% C: 58.6%	A: 24.4% B: 46.9% C: 51.3%			
15	Percent of findings of noncompliance corrected within 12 months	≈100%	32.3%	100%	95.4%	100%	100.0%	100%	99.9%	100%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
16	Timely child complaint resolutions	≈100%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
17	Timely due process hearing adjudication	≈100%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	66.7%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18	Percent of resolution sessions resulting in settlement agreements	N/A	46.9%	≥50.0%	46.2%	35.0%	48.8%	35.1%	44.0%	35.2%	55.2%	35.3%	19.6%	35.3%	35.3%	35.3%	35.3%
19	Percent of mediations that result in a mediation agreement	≥62.0%	66.7%	62.5%	55.5%	35.0%	64.7%	35.1%	81.3%	35.2%	90.0%	35.3%	95.3%	35.3%	35.3%	35.3%	35.3%
20	Percent of state reported data that are timely and accurate	≈100.0%	93.0%	100.0%	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

1. See Annual Performance Report for information on changes to the data reporting and calculation requirements. For more information, see Missouri State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report for Part B at <http://www.dese.mo.gov/divs/pepd/SPPpage.html>.

OSEP Part B State Performance Plan Indicators

(Requires public reporting of state and district-level data)

1. Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.
2. Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.
3. Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments:
 - A. Percent of the districts with a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size that meet the State's AYP targets for the disability subgroup.
 - B. Participation rate for children with IEPs.
 - C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.
4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:
 - A. Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and
 - B. Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.
5. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served:
 - A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day;
 - B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day; and
 - C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.
6. Percent of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a:
 - A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program; and
 - B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.
7. Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved:
 - A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
 - B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy); and
 - C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.
8. Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.
10. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.
11. Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.
12. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.
14. Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:
 - A. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.
 - B. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.
 - C. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.
15. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.
16. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint, or because the parent (or individual or organization) and the public agency agree to extend the time to engage in mediation or other alternative means of dispute resolution, if available in the State.
17. Percent of adjudicated due process hearing requests that were adjudicated within the 45-day timeline or a timeline that is properly extended by the hearing officer at the request of either party or in the case of an expedited hearing, within the required timelines.
18. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements.
19. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.
20. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.