


Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 1

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma

Graduation Rate for IEP Students



Formula:
 Old Calculation: Graduation Rate of Students with Disabilities = (Number of IEP Graduates / (Number of IEP Graduates + Total IEP Cohort Dropouts)) x 100 where
 Total Dropouts includes received certificate; reached maximum age; moved, not known to be continuing; and dropped out
 New Calc = Four-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate = Percent of students who entered 9th grade four years ago that graduated within four years


Source:
 Exiter Data (via MOSIS for 07-08+)

GRADUATION

SPP 2

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school

Dropout Rate for IEP Students



Formula:
 Dropout Rate of Students with Disabilities (IEP) = Number of IEP dropouts (grades 9-12) / IEP student count (grades 9-12) x 100 where
 Total Dropouts includes received certificate; reached maximum age; moved, not known to be continuing; and dropped out

Source:
 Exiter Data (via MOSIS for 07-08+)


DROPOUTS

**Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators
STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE**

SPP 3A

Percent of districts with a disability subgroup that meets the state's minimum "n" size that meet the state's AYP/AMO targets for the disability subgroup

Percent of Districts Meeting AYP/AMO



Formula:
Percent =
(Number of districts meeting State's AYP or AMO objectives/ Total number of districts that have a disability subgroup that meet State's minimum "n" size) x 100

Notes:
Minimum number of students with disabilities assessed in order to hold a district accountable for NCLB AYP purposes was 50 for 2006 and 2007, and is 30 for 2008+.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) data reported for 2012+


Source:
State AYP/AMO data

AYP

SPP 3B

Participation rate for children with IEPs

MAP Participation Rate



Formula:
Participation Rate =
(Number Participating/Number Accountable) x 100

Source:
MAP Assessment data


MAP PARTICIPATION

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 3C

Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level and alternate academic achievement standards

MAP Communication Arts - Percent Top 2



Formula:
Percent Top 2 =
(Number Proficient & Advanced/Number Reportable) x 100.
Data includes all grades assessed.


Notes:
Proficiency Rate is the Percent Top 2, and the Percent Top 2 is the
Percent of students scoring in Proficient and Advanced.

Targets should align with ESEA Waiver

* 2009 and later data include End of Course Exam results.

2009-2011 data includes only students with disabilities enrolled for
a full academic year. Prior years and 2012+ include all students
with disabilities

MAP Mathematics - Percent Top 2




Source:
MAP Assessment data

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 4A

Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs

Districts with Significant Discrepancies in Suspension/Expulsion Rates



Formula:
Percent =
(Number of districts identified by the State as having significant discrepancies in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year/Number of districts in the State) x 100

Notes:
Districts are identified using a risk ratio of the discipline rate for students with disabilities to the discipline rate of nondisabled students. A district with high ratios for two consecutive years would be considered to have a significant discrepancy in suspension/expulsion rates.


Source:
Core Data Screen 9 - Discipline Incident Data via MOSIS for 07-08+

DISCIPLINE

SPP 4B

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards

Districts with Significant Discrepancies in Suspension/Expulsion by Race/Ethnicity



Formula:
Percent =
(Number of districts identified by the State as having significant discrepancies in the rates of suspensions and expulsions by race ethnicity that had noncompliant policies, procedures or practices contributing to the discrepancy/Number of districts in the State) x 100

Notes:
Districts are identified using a risk ratio of the discipline rate for students with disabilities to the discipline rate of nondisabled students, by race/ethnicity. A district with high ratios for two consecutive years would be considered to have a significant discrepancy in suspension/expulsion rates.

Source:
Core Data Screen 9 - Discipline Incident Data via MOSIS for 07-08+


DISCIPLINE BY RACE/ETHNICITY

**Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators
STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE**

SPP 5A

Percent of children with IEPs ages 6 through 21 served inside the regular class greater than 79% of the day

Inside Regular Class > 79%



Formula:

Inside Regular Class >79% =
 $(\text{Number Ages 6-21 Inside Regular Education } >79\% / \text{Total Age 6-21 Child Count}) \times 100$


Source:
 Core Data Screen 11 – Child Count and Placements via MOSIS for 2007-08+

INSIDE REGULAR EDUCATION >79%

SPP 5B

Percent of children with IEPs ages 6 through 21 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day

Inside Regular Class < 40%



Formula:

Inside Regular Class < 40% =
 $(\text{Number Ages 6-21 Inside Regular Class } <40\% / \text{Total Age 6-21 Child Count}) \times 100$

Source:
 Core Data Screen 11 – Child Count and Placements via MOSIS for 2007-08+


INSIDE REGULAR EDUCATION <40%

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 5C

Percent of children with IEPs ages 6 through 21 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements

Separate Settings



Formula:
Separate Settings % =
(Number Ages 6-21 in Separate Settings/Total Age 6-21 Child Count) x 100

Notes:
Separate Settings include public or private separate schools, residential placements, homebound or hospital settings, and state operated programs

Source:
Core Data Screen 11 – Child Count and Placements via MOSIS for 2007-08+

SEPARATE SETTINGS

SPP 6


Percent of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending:
A: Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program; and
B: Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility

6A: Regular Program



Formula:
Percent = (Number in Category/Total Age 3-5 Child Count) x 100

6B: Special Education Program



Source:
Core Data Screen 11 – Child Count and Placements via MOSIS

PRESCHOOL SETTINGS

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE


SPP 7

Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved:
 A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)
 B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)
 C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.


Summary Statement 1: Of those children who entered ECSE below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited ECSE.

Summary Statement 2: The percent of ECSE children who were functioning within age expectations by the time they exited ECSE.


**Indicator 7A: Social-Emotional
Summary Statement 1**




**Indicator 7A: Social-Emotional
Summary Statement 2**




**Indicator 7B: Knowledge & Skills
Summary Statement 1**




**Indicator 7B: Knowledge & Skills
Summary Statement 2**



**Indicator 7C: Behaviors
Summary Statement 1**



**Indicator 7C: Behaviors
Summary Statement 2**




Source: ECO entry and exit ratings submitted by districts

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 8

Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities

Parent Involvement



Formula:

Percent =

(Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities/total number of respondent parents of students with disabilities) x 100

Notes:

2006 was the baseline year so no targets set until 2007

Data collected during monitoring self-assessment year

Source:


Parent Survey

PARENT INVOLVEMENT

SPP 9 & 10

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification

Disproportionate Representation



Formula:

SPP 9 Percent =

(Number of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification/Total number of districts) x 100

SPP 10 Percent =

(Number of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification)/Total number of districts) x 100

Notes:

Disproportionate representation of racial /ethnic groups determined using a risk ratio analysis. See APR for further information.

Targets are required to be 0% for these indicators

Source:

Special Education child count and district enrollment data via MOSIS


DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION

**Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators
STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE**

SPP 11

Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation

Evaluation Timelines



Formula:
Percent =
(Number of children determined not eligible whose evaluations were completed within 60 days + Number determined eligible whose evaluations were completed within 60 days) / Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received) x 100

Notes:
Data on evaluation timelines collected during the monitoring self-assessment process

Targets are required to be 100% for this compliance indicator

Source:
IMACS self-assessment data

EVALUATION TIMELINES

SPP 12

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays

Part C to Part B Transition Timelines



Formula:
Percent =
(Number of children found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays/Number of children served in Part C referred and found eligible for Part B) x 100

*Excludes number of children for whom parent refusal to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services

Notes:
Data on Part C to B transition timelines collected during the monitoring self-assessment process

Targets are required to be 100% for this compliance indicator

Source:
IMACS self-assessment data

PART C TO PART B TRANSITION

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 13

Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.

Post-Secondary Transition Plans

Formula:

Percent =
(Number of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that meets the criteria above/Number of youth age 16 and above) x 100

Notes:


Data on transition plans collected during the monitoring self-assessment process. Transition plans are evaluated using the POSTAC checklist.

Targets are required to be 100% for this compliance indicator

Due to indicator language changes, these data were not reported for 2009

Source:

IMACS self-assessment data




TRANSITION PLANS

SPP 14


Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had plans in effect at the time they left school, and were:

- A. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school
- B. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school
- C. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school


Post-Secondary Follow-Up - A



Post-Secondary Follow-Up - C



Post-Secondary Follow-Up - B



Due to indicator language changes, baseline was re-established for 2009-10
See SPP/APR for calculations

Source:

Graduate and dropout follow-up reported via MOSIS


POST-SECONDARY FOLLOW-UP

Special Education State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators STATEWIDE PROGRESS AT A GLANCE

SPP 15

SPP 15: Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements

Resolution Settlement Agreements



Formula:
SPP 15 Percent =
(Number of hearing requests resolved through resolution settlement agreement/Total hearing requests that went to resolution session) x 100

Source:
DESE database

RESOLUTION & MEDIATION AGREEMENTS

SPP 16

SPP 16: Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements

Mediation Agreements



Formula:
SPP 16 Percent =
(Number of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements/Total number of mediations held) x 100

Source:
DESE database

DATA REPORTING