

2013-14 Part C SPP/APR Summary

Last Updated 12/23/14

In this year's report the U.S. Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) combined the State Performance Plan (SPP) and Annual Performance Report (APR) into a single SPP/APR document. Instead of a paper submission, APR data will be submitted through OSEP's online system GRADS 360. The Part C SPP/APR now has 11 Indicators, instead of 14. The results for the first 10 indicators will be submitted no later than February 2, 2015. Indicator 11, the State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP), will be submitted no later than April 1, 2015. In subsequent years, all 11 indicators will be submitted in February of each year.

SPP/APR Indicator	Definition	SPP/APR page number	Targets for 2013-14	Results for 2013-14	Did State meet target?	Did State have slippage?	Comments
Introduction		1-7					
1 – Timely Provision of Services	New services begin within 30 days from parental consent	8-9	100%	11-12: 81.6% 12-13: 87.1% 13-14: 95.51%	No	No	
2 – Services in Natural Environments	Setting where most services are delivered	10	95%	Dec. 1, 2011: 98.9% Dec. 1, 2012: 99.0% Dec. 1, 2013: 99.34%	Yes	No	
3 – Early Childhood Outcomes (ECO)	Child's level of functioning at entry and exit of First Steps	11-14					
(A) Positive social emotional skills	Percent of children with IFSPs who demonstrate improved Positive social-emotional skills	12	A1: 69.2%	11-12: A1: 74.6% 12-13: A1: 79.1% 13-14: A1: 79.89%	Yes	No	Summary Statement A1: Of the infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in Outcome 1: Positive social/emotional skills, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
	Percent of children with IFSPs who demonstrate improved Positive social-emotional skills	12	A2: 20%	11-12: A2: 43.5% 12-13: A2: 38.4% 13-14: A2: 31.76%	Yes	Yes	Summary Statement A2: The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome 1: Positive social/emotional skills by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.

SPP/APR Indicator	Definition	SPP/APR page number	Targets for 2013-14	Results for 2013-14	Did State meet target?	Did State have slippage?	Comments
(B) Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	Percent of children with IFSPs who demonstrate improved Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	12-13	B1: 70.4%	11-12: B1: 76.9% 12-13: B1: 80.4% 13-14: B1: 81.70%	Yes	No	Summary Statement B1: Of the infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in Outcome 2: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
	Percent of children with IFSPs who demonstrate improved Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	12-13	B2: 20%	11-12: B2: 41.3% 12-13: B2: 38.5% 13-14: B2: 33.67%	Yes	Yes	Summary Statement B2: The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome 2: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
(C) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet needs	Percent of children with IFSPs who demonstrate improved Appropriate behaviors to meet needs	13	C1: 73.1%	11-12: C1: 78.2% 12-13: C1: 81.8% 13-14: C1: 82.48%	Yes	No	Summary Statement C1: Of the infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in Outcome 3: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet needs, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
	Percent of children with IFSPs who demonstrate improved Appropriate behaviors to meet needs	13	C2: 15%	11-12: C2: 33.2% 12-13: C2: 31.1% 13-14: C2: 25.82%	Yes	Yes	Summary Statement C2: The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome 3: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet needs by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
4 – Family Involvement	The number of families participating in First Steps and responded to the state’s survey.	15-17	.				

SPP/APR Indicator	Definition	SPP/APR page number	Targets for 2013-14	Results for 2013-14	Did State meet target?	Did State have slippage?	Comments
(A) Know their rights	Percent of families who report First Steps services helped the family: Know their rights	15-16	95% will agree or strongly agree with the survey items	A: 11-12: 96.2% 12-13: 96.9% 13-14: 95.15%	Yes	Yes	Family survey results for items: Question 10. I received information and explanations about our family's rights to file a child complaint. Question 11. I received information and explanations about our family's parental rights.
(B) Effectively communicate their children's needs	Percent of families who report First Steps services helped the family: Effectively communicate their children's needs	15-16	95% will agree or strongly agree with the survey items	B: 11-12: 97.2% 12-13: 97.8% 13-14: 96.34%	Yes	Yes	Family survey results for items: Question 24. We are able to find and use the services and programs available to us. Question 25. We know who to contact and what to do when we have questions or concerns.
(C) Help their children develop and learn	Percent of families who report First Steps services helped the family: Help their children develop and learn	15-16	95% will agree or strongly agree with the survey items	C: 11-12: 98.0% 12-13: 98.6% 13-14: 96.61%	Yes	Yes	Family survey results for item: Question 19. First Steps services give my family the tools to directly improve my child's development.
5 – Child Find Birth to One	Number of children, birth to age 1, with an IFSP on December 1 of each year.	18	0.80%	Dec. 1, 2011: 0.97% Dec. 1, 2012: 0.98% Dec. 1, 2013: 1.01%	Yes	No	
6 – Child Find Birth to Three	Number of children, birth to age 3, with an IFSP on December 1 of each year.	19	2.00%	Dec. 1, 2011: 2.21% Dec. 1, 2012: 2.23% Dec. 1, 2013: 2.22%	Yes	Yes	

SPP/APR Indicator	Definition	SPP/APR page number	Targets for 2013-14	Results for 2013-14	Did State meet target?	Did State have slippage?	Comments
7 – 45-day timeline	Number of children with an initial IFSP completed within 45-days from referral.	20-21	100%	11-12: 100.0% 12-13: 94.0% 13-14: 100.0%	Yes	No	
8 – Early Childhood Transition	Required activities to ensure a smooth transition for children approaching age 3.	22-27					
(A) Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services within acceptable timelines	Number of children with a timely meeting to develop the transition plan. The plan includes confirmation that directory information and other additional information with parental consent, was sent to the school district in which the child resides, or the parent opted out	22-23	100%	11-12: 98.4% 12-13: 48.0% * 13-14: 89.47%	No	No	*Note: As a reminder, in FFY 12-13, in addition to the items required for previous reporting years, per Part C federal regulations, new requirements for that reporting year indicated the transition plan IFSP must also be timely and include: (i) confirmation that child find information about the child has been transmitted to the LEA in accordance with the State’s opt out policy, (ii) with parental consent, transmission of additional information, including (a) a copy of the most recent evaluation and assessments of the child and the family and (b) most recent IFSP.
(B) Notified SEA and LEA within acceptable timelines, consistent with the State’s opt out policy	Number of children with timely notification to state and school district that the child is approaching age three and is potentially eligible for Part B of IDEA.	24-25	100%	11-12: 95.1% 12-13: 84.8% 13-14: 100%	Yes	No	
(C) Conducted the transition conference within acceptable timelines, with the approval of the family	Number of children with timely transition conference with the school district in which the child resides.	25-27	100%	11-12: 100% 12-13: 92.9% 13-14: 100%	Yes	No	

SPP/APR Indicator	Definition	SPP/APR page number	Targets for 2013-14	Results for 2013-14	Did State meet target?	Did State have slippage?	Comments
9 – Resolution Sessions	Percent of due process hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements	28	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not applicable as Missouri did not adopt Part B due process procedures for Part C. NOTE: No due process hearing requests were received during 2013-14.
10 – Mediation	Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements	28	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No mediation requests were received during 2013-14. Thus this indicator is not applicable due to lack of mediation requests. Per OSEP instructions, the State is not required to provide a baseline or targets except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.
11 – State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP)	The State’s plan to improve child outcomes, including an analysis of data, infrastructure, measurable result, improvement strategies and theory of action.	29	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending	This is a new indicator that will be submitted to OSEP no later than April 1, 2015.