

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 90.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 89.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported on page 7 of its APR that “there were no findings of pervasive noncompliance issued in 2007-08, either for the 2006-07 or 2007-08 reviews of timely services,” but “there were findings of individual noncompliance.” The State also reported that “the 2007-08 timeline data were reviewed for the SPOEs receiving an onsite monitoring in 2008-09.” However, the State did not report how many findings of individual noncompliance related to this indicator were issued in FFY 2007, how many findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State’s FFY 2007 data, or whether those findings were timely or subsequently corrected. In addition, it is unclear whether the information provided under this indicator regarding the verification of correction of noncompliance applies to the findings of noncompliance the State identified in FFY 2007, or identified in FFY 2008 based on FFY 2007 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR due February 1, 2011, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must also report, in the FFY</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>2009 APR, how many findings of individual noncompliance related to this indicator were issued in FFY 2007, how many findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State's FFY 2007 data, and whether those findings were corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2007, and identified in FFY 2008 based on FFY 2007 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 98%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 95%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State provided targets for this indicator. OSEP notes that the State's FFY 2010 end targets for this indicator do not reflect improvement over the FFY 2008 reported baseline data.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 542 1444 1149"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="550 542 919 740">08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data</th> <th data-bbox="919 542 1192 740"><u>Summary Statement 1</u><sup>1</sup></th> <th data-bbox="1192 542 1444 740"><u>Summary Statement 2</u><sup>2</sup></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 740 919 873"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="919 740 1192 873">76.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1192 740 1444 873">59.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 873 919 1045"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="919 873 1192 1045">74.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1192 873 1444 1045">57.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 1045 919 1149"><b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="919 1045 1192 1149">80.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1192 1045 1444 1149">46.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	76.0	59.4	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	74.8	57.1	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	80.0	46.3	<p>303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p> <p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009 with the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must revise its FFY 2010 targets to show improvement over the baseline.</p>
08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> <sup>2</sup>												
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	76.0	59.4												
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	74.8	57.1												
<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	80.0	46.3												
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>												

<sup>1</sup> Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

<sup>2</sup> Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>					<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>		FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress	
	A. Know their rights (%)	92.7	94.6	95	1.90%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	95.9	95.6	95	-0.30%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96.9	97.4	95	0.50%	
	<p>These data represent progress for 4A and 4C from the FFY 2007 data. The State met its FFY 2008 targets for 4B and 4C and did not meet its target for 4A.</p>					
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator language and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are .75%. The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .76%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of .79%.</p>					<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 1.55%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 1.45%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 1.61%.</p>					<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 95%. The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported on page 21 of its APR that “there were no findings of</p>					<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>pervasive noncompliance issued in 2007-08, either for the 2006-07 or 2007-08 reviews of 45-day timelines,” but “there were findings of individual child noncompliance.” The State also reported that “the 2007-08 timeline data were reviewed for the SPOEs receiving an onsite monitoring in 2008-09.” However, the State did not report how many findings of individual noncompliance related to this indicator were issued in FFY 2007, how many findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State’s FFY 2007 data, or whether those findings were timely or subsequently corrected. In addition, it is unclear whether the information provided under this indicator regarding the verification of correction of noncompliance applies to the findings of noncompliance the State identified in FFY 2007, or identified in FFY 2008 based on FFY 2007 data.</p>	<p>State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must also report, in the FFY 2009 APR, how many findings of individual noncompliance related to this indicator were issued in FFY 2007, how many findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State’s FFY 2007 data, and whether those findings were corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 and identified in FFY 2008 based on FFY 2007 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 data for this indicator are 98.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 94.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>On page 26 of its FFY 2008 APR, the State provided information regarding</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008,</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>the one instance in which the LEA was not notified of a child potentially eligible for Part B, which resulted in the State’s FFY 2008 data for this indicator being 98.6%. However, it is unclear whether findings of individual noncompliance related to this indicator were issued in FFY 2007, whether findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State’s FFY 2007 data, or whether any such findings were timely or subsequently corrected.</p> <p>Although the State continued to refer to “consent” under Indicator 8B in its FFY 2008 APR, the State acknowledged that its prior policy did not meet Federal requirements, and indicated that it has revised its policies, effective in FFY 2009.</p> <p>With its FFY 2009 Part C grant application, the State submitted a specific assurance indicating that it would subject its opt-out policy to the public participation requirements under 34 CFR §§ 303.110 – 303.113, and submit its final policy to OSEP no later than June 30, 2010. In its FFY 2008 APR, the State reported that it will submit its final transition documents with its Part C application, due May 10, 2010. OSEP will respond to the State’s final transition documents through the Part C grant application process.</p>	<p>the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State’s Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must also report, in the FFY 2009 APR, how many findings of individual noncompliance related to this indicator were issued in FFY 2007, how many findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State’s FFY 2007 data, and whether those findings were corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 and identified in FFY 2008 based on FFY 2007 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 data for this indicator are 92.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 94.2%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 11 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100%</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 22 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP notes that the State's FFY 2008 reported data for Indicator 9 does not include any findings of noncompliance for Indicators 1, 7, or 8B. As explained under those indicators above, it is unclear how many findings of individual noncompliance related to those indicators were issued in FFY 2007, how many findings were issued in FFY 2008 based on the State's FFY 2007 data, or whether those findings were timely or subsequently corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified in FFY 2007.</p> <p>In its FFY 2009 APR, under Indicator 9, the State must report on the correction of all findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008, regardless of the specific level of noncompliance.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2008: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>As stated in OSEP's February 18, 2010 verification visit letter, the State was required to submit an assurance that it will give prior written notice, including information about procedural safeguards and the State's procedures for reviewing the parents' ability to pay in the event of changed circumstances, to parents, as required under 34 CFR §303.403, when a suspension of Early Intervention Services occurs due to non-payment of fees. The State provided this assurance in its April 8, 2010 letter to OSEP.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that all three of the signed written complaints received during the reporting period were withdrawn.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not have any due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>